

**To:** Meg Sheehan[meg@ecolaw.biz]  
**Cc:** Webster, David[Webster.David@epa.gov]; Pine Dubois[pine@jonesriver.org]; Mary Lampert[mary.lampert@comcast.net]; Condon, Suzanne (DPH)[suzanne.condon@state.ma.us]; Karen Vale[karen@capecodbaywatch.org]; Genevieve Byrne[gebyrne@gmail.com]; Anne Bingham[annebinghamlaw@comcast.net]; Mary Woollen[Mary.Woollen@nrc.gov]; Kimmell, Ken (DEP)[ken.kimmell@state.ma.us]; Sullivan, Rick (EEA)[rick.sullivan@state.ma.us]; Bill Maurer[wmmaurer@comcast.net]; Moran, Gary (DEP)[gary.moran@state.ma.us]  
**From:** Card, Bethany (DEP)  
**Sent:** Fri 2/14/2014 3:01:13 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Pilgrim Nuclear: Tritium Leaks and Use of NSDL

Dear Meg,

Thank you for your recent inquiries and information related to Pilgrim Nuclear. While I know that Suzanne Condon, DPH has provided some responses to your questions, I wanted to let you know that the agencies are coordinating to review all of your questions – including those that are specific to MassDEP and EPA Region 1. We will be getting back to you with our responses as soon as we can.

Sincerely,

Beth

---

Bethany A. Card

Assistant Commissioner

Bureau of Resource Protection

One Winter Street

Boston, MA 02108-4747

(617) 292-5748

[bethany.card@state.ma.us](mailto:bethany.card@state.ma.us)

**From:** Meg Sheehan [mailto:meg@ecolaw.biz]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 11:40 AM  
**To:** Condon, Suzanne (DPH)  
**Cc:** Card, Bethany (DEP); webster.david@epa.gov; Pine Dubois; Mary Lampert; Karen Vale; Genevieve Byrne; Anne Bingham; Mary Woollen; Kimmell, Ken (DEP); Sullivan, Rick (EEA); Bill Maurer  
**Subject:** Re: Pilgrim Nuclear: Tritium Leaks and Use of NSDL

Suzanne,

Here's a link to Mr. Noyes describing how they rerouted the NSDL "discharge pathway".

Dave/Beth/Ken,

Are NPDES permittees allowed to unilaterally "reroute" their "discharge pathways"? Or does this require EPA permission and an NPDES permit amendment for the new discharge point?

Sure seems to me that Entergy tried to cover this up in the DMRs.

Please explain.

Thanks.

Meg Sheehan

[http://manometcurrent.com/video-plymouth-nuclear-matters-committee-meeting-january-27-2014/?utm\\_source=feedburner&utm\\_medium=feed&utm\\_campaign=Feed%3A+TheManometCurrent+\(The+Manomet+Current\)](http://manometcurrent.com/video-plymouth-nuclear-matters-committee-meeting-january-27-2014/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+TheManometCurrent+(The+Manomet+Current))

Hi Meg-I think I see the confusion over Mr. Noyes remarks. He is correct in saying that after the broken Neutralizing Sump Discharge Line (NSDL) was discovered in April 2013, Entergy "had ceased all discharges for this and rerouted to an above ground collection box". Here he is referencing that line and not speaking about the NSDL CATCH BASIN. Again when he says they "have ceased all discharges from that pathway" I believe he is talking about the line itself. As we understand it Entergy used a temporary line to the catch basin in December bypassing the area of the break discovered in April.

Hope this helps. Let me know if any other Qs.